Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/854,356	CHEEVER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642
II Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Nat Parent.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 126  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that claim 126, not included in the Restriction Requirement mailed on 03-04-2003 is a different invention because the claim uses the protein of the refered claim in order to treat cells that are going to be administered, therefore the claim is withdrawn from examination on merits.